

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/687,991	10/13/2000	Jai Rawat	OBON0003	1050	
759	90 06/24/2003				
GLENN PATENT GROUP			EXAMINER		
3475 Edison Way, Suite L Menlo Park, CA 94025			SALAD, ABDU	SALAD, ABDULLAHI ELMI	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2157		
			DATE MAILED: 06/24/2003	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/687,991	RAWAT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Salad E Abdullahi	2157				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 May 2002</u> .						
,	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application	_					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)	. ,					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 9	5) Notice of Informal F	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/687,991 Page 2

Art Unit: 2157

DETAILED ACTION

1. This application has been reviewed. Original claims 1-30 are pending. The rejection cited stated below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Markus U.S. Patent No. 6,499,042.

As per claims 1, 13 and 24, Markus discloses a system for automating data transactions between computers servers, comprising:

a first computer server fig. 2, element 15) maintaining a database having stored data recorded therein (see fig. 2, and col. 1, lines 18-59);

program code (applet) residing on said first computer server for creating extracted data by selectively extracting said stored data responsive to a request (see fig. 2, and col. 1, lines 18-59 and col. 3, lines 20-65); and

Page 3

additional program code residing on said first computer server for obtaining a blank form, and for parsing said blank form to identify which of said extracted data should be used to fill in at least a part of said blank form (see fig. 2, and col. 1, lines 18-59 and col. 3, lines 20-65).

In considering claims 2, 14 and 25, Markus discloses a system, wherein said extracted data includes data for all fields in said blank form (col. 1, lines 18-59).

In considering claims 3, 15 and 26, Markus discloses a system, wherein said blank form is obtained from a second computer server (form originating server) (see fig. 2, element 14).

In considering claims 4, 17 and 27, Markus discloses a system, wherein said blank form is filled in using a fuzzy fill procedure (col. 3, lines 20-65)..

In considering claims 5, 16 and 28, Markus discloses a system, wherein said blank form is a login form (col. 3, lines 20-65).

Application/Control Number: 09/687,991

Page 4

Art Unit: 2157

In considering claim 6, Markus discloses a system, wherein said additional program code includes instructions for creating a filled form using said extracted data to fill in said blank form (col. 1, lines 18-59).

In considering claims 7 and 18, Markus discloses a system, wherein said additional program code further includes instructions for submitting said filled form to a second computer server (col. 1, lines 18-59).

In considering claims 8, 19, 28 and 30, Markus discloses a system, further comprising: an additional database maintained at said first computer server (see col. 1, lines 18-59 and col. 3, lines 20-65);

additional database having stored form data recorded therein (see col. 1, lines 18-59 and col. 3, lines 20-65);

stored form data relating to forms required by at least one other computer server (see col. 1, lines 18-59 and col. 3, lines 20-65).

In considering claim 9, 20 and 29, comparing data fields in said blank form with said stored form data recorded in said additional database (is inherent).

Application/Control Number: 09/687,991

Page 5

Art Unit: 2157

In considering claims 10 and 21, Markus discloses a system wherein said stored form data includes parsed form data from said at least one other computer server (see col. 1, lines 18-59). In considering claims 11 and 22, Markus discloses a system further comprising: form filling program instructions residing on said first computer server, using a result of said comparing data fields in said blank form with said stored form data, for creating a filled form by filling in said blank form using a fuzzy fill procedure (see col. 1, lines 18-59 and col. 3, lines 20-65).

In considering claim 12 and 23, Markus discloses a system further comprising: form submitting program instructions residing on said first computer server, using a result of said form filling program instructions, for automatically submitting said filled form to a second computer server (col. 1, lines 18-59).

CONCLUSION

- The prior art made of record and relied upon is considered pertinent to the applicants 4. disclosure.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Abdullahi E. Salad whose telephone number is (703) 308-8441. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 09/687,991

Art Unit: 2157

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Etienne, Ario can be reached at (703)308-7562. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-3900.

Any response to this action should mailed to:

Box AF

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, DC 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 746-7238, (after final communications)

(703) 746-7239, (Official communications)

(703) 746-7240, (Non-Official/Draft).

As

06/15/2003

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Page 6